

... and Patched!

Reduceron Characteristics



The size of compiled bodies is **bounded** so that by using **wide parallel memories** bodies are instantiated in a **single clock cycle**.



Primitive redexes in instances of function bodies are detected **dynamically** for **primitive redex speculation**.

Reduceron Characteristics



The size of compiled bodies is **bounded** so that by using **wide parallel memories** bodies are instantiated in a **single clock cycle**.



Primitive redexes in instances of function bodies are detected **dynamically** for **primitive redex speculation**.



The sizes of bodies containing primitive applications are reckoned **as if every primitive-redex test fails**.

Detecting Guaranteed PRS Candidates Statically

Goal

Find the primitive applications whose **every** run-time instance is **guaranteed** to be a redex.

Method?

Suppose we propagate integer-value information:

- ▶ inwards from program input;
- ▶ outwards from numeric literals;
- ▶ onwards through primitive redex speculation.

Example

In `safe` we find just **one** guaranteed primitive redex

```
safe x d (q:qs) = x /= q && x /= q+d && x /= q-d &&  
                 safe x (d+1) qs
```

as both `x` and `q` are drawn from **data structures**.

Valuable data structures

Definition

Let D be a data expression that evaluates to the construction $C e_1 \dots e_n$. D is **valuable** if each integer component e_j is a value, and each data component e_j is valuable.

Revisiting safe

With information about valuable data structures, the guaranteed primitive redexes become:

$$\text{safe } x \text{ d } (q:qs) = x \neq q \ \&\& \ x \neq q+d \ \&\& \ x \neq q-d \ \&\& \\ \text{safe } x \ (d+1) \ qs$$

Non-uniformity, Cloning & Specialization

- ▶ Problem: for **some** applications of a function there is scope for primitive-redex speculation in the body but not for **others**.
- ▶ Solution: **clone by need**, specialising functions for different combinations of value/valuable argument positions.
- ▶ In principle, the number of clones could be **exponential** in the arity of a function. In practice, there is **often just one** specialization needed — and the original is discarded.

Spineless Queens Safety!

safe v0 v1 v2 = v2 [safe#1,safe#2] v0 v1

safe#1 v0 v1 v2 v3 v4 =

{(/=) v3 v0}

[safe2#5,safe2#6]

v3

{(+) v0 v4}

{(-) v0 v4}

{(+) v4 1}

v1

safe#2 v0 v1 v2 = True

safe2#1 v0 v1 v2 v3 = False

safe2#2 v0 v1 v2 v3 = v3 [safe#1,safe#2] v1 v2

safe2#3 v0 v1 v2 v3 v4 = False

safe2#4 v0 v1 v2 v3 v4 = {(/=) v1 v2} [safe2#1,safe2#2] ...

safe2#5 v0 v1 v2 v3 v4 v5 = False

safe2#6 v0 v1 v2 v3 v4 v5 = {(/=) v1 v2} [safe2#3,safe2#4] ...

Fibonacci Presto!

```
fib  n =  
  {n<=1}  
    [fib#1, fib#2]  
      n
```

```
fib#1 n =  
  {n<=3}  
    [fib#1, fib#2]  
      {n-2}  
      (+)
```

```
({n<=2} [fib#1, fib#2] {n-1})
```

```
fib#2 n =  
  1
```

Latest Performance Figures

Run-times in clock cycles

	ICFP paper	Presto
Fib	13462684	6731343
Queens	18796348	8544006

Hand-reductions per cycle

	ICFP paper	Presto
Fib	0.90	1.80
Queens	0.72	1.58