# A New IEEE 1149.1 Boundary Scan Design for the Detection of Delay Defects

Sungju Park and Taehyung Kim Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering Hanyang University SaDong, Ansan, Kyunggi-Do, 425-791 Korea <u>parksj@mslab.hanyang.ac.kr</u>, taehyung@mslab.hanyang.ac.kr

### ABSTRACT

Delay defects on I/O pads, interconnections of a board, or interconnections among embedded cores can not be tested with the current IEEE 1149.1 boundary scan design. This paper introduces a simple design technique which slightly modifies the TAP controller to test delay defects by postponing the UpdateDR with EXTEST instruction. Furthermore 2log(N+2) interconnect test patterns are proposed for both static and delay testing.

### 1. Introduction

Boundary scan design is a design for testability technique to simplify the application of test patterns for the detection and diagnosis of different faults at levels of packages (e.g. chips, modules, boards, backplanes). Incircuit test based on the bed-of-nails probing technique makes it possible to test each chip and the interconnections among chips. However it requires the automatic test equipment to probe each chip pin and the increasing use of surface mounting techniques make it difficult to perform in-circuit test.

Boundary scan is aiming to improve the card level testability by embedding a dedicated boundary scan register or making use of the part of the scan register in each chip. IBM boundary scan design has been developed in support of reduced pin count test and interconnect test where the boundary scan latches belong to the scan register [1]. IEEE 1149.1 boundary scan design which uses an explicit test protocol is becoming a widely adopted industry standard [2].

The conceivable defects on the interconnections among chips can be modeled as stuck-at, bridging, delay, and intermittent faults. A few test pattern generation algorithms for static faults have been developed [3][4]. Although couple of papers have been presented for the testing of dynamic faults, extra hardware for each boundary scan cell is required [5][6] and the internal scan chain information, which is not available in general, must be known to test system delay [7][8]. Boundary scan is used to test delay defects on I/O pads at wafer or package levels [9].



Figure 1: Testing delay defects on I/O pads using boundary scan.

In Figure 1, the signal launched by updateclk is captured by captureclk, but the interval between updateclk and captureclk is 2.5 TCKs, thus delay defects on I/O pads can not be tested with the current IEEE TAP controller. It can be feasible to test delay defects among interconnects by connecting the TCK to a system clock in system ICs embedding IP cores with 1149.1 boundary scan[10], but the current IEEE boundary scan does not allow the delay testing. We have developed a simple boundary scan design technique which can test delay defects in addition to static defects with minimal area overhead while fully complying the IEEE 1149.1 standard.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, IEEE 1149.1 standard and interconnect test generation techniques are briefly reviewed and the problem for testing delay defects with boundary scan design is discussed in section 3. A new technique to postpone the Update-DR is depicted in section 4 and delay test patterns for interconnect testing is presented in section 5 followed by conclusions in section 6.

# 2. IEEE 1149.1 Boundary Scan and Interconnect Testing

IEEE boundary scan architecture consists of Test Access Ports (TAP), TAP controller, instruction and data registers. Test Data Input (TDI), Test Data Output (TDO), Test Clock (TCK), and Test Reset (TRST) constitute the TAP and TRST can be used optionally. Each input and output pins of a chip is connected to input and output boundary scan cells respectively. IEEE boundary scan instructions can be classified into compulsory ones such as BYPASS, EXTEST, and SAMPLE/PRELOAD and optional ones such as CLAMP, HIGHZ, and RUNBIST. TAP controller is a finite state machine with 16 states which mainly enable to apply patterns to data and instruction registers and to observe the test responses. The interconnect faults on a board can be summarized as follows:

- 1. S-at-1 and S-at-0: The conventional stuck at fault model.
- 2. S-open: The fault model for CMOS implementations which models any open net fault as either a pull-up or pull-down circuit. Initialization and transition patterns, that is, a two pattern test is required to detect a stuck-open fault.

3. Shorted Nets Faults: AND, OR, OPEN, DOMINATOR: The fault model for shorted nets faults can be classified into AND, OR, OPEN and DOMINATOR type faults. Suppose two nets: (A, B) are shorted and let the logic values at each net be V(A) and V(B) respectively then:

(a) An AND type short results in logic 0 if either net is logic 0.

(b) Conversely an OR type short results in logic 1 if either net is logic 1.

(c) We call A DOMINATES B if V(A) appears at both nets regardless of V(B). Similarly B DOMINATES A if V(B) always appears at both nets regardless of V(A).

4. Delay fault:  $0 \rightarrow 1$ ' or  $'1 \rightarrow 0$ ' transition can not reach the receiver within a specified amount of time.

This paper introduces a new technique which makes it possible to test delay defects in addition to the static interconnect faults with the EXTEST instruction. The method to apply and observe interconnect test patterns and state transitions of the Test Mode Selector can be summarized as follows:

1. EXTEST instruction is read and decoded. The state transition is :

2. Interconnect test patterns are serially applied through the boundary scan register. The corresponding state transitions:

Scan-DR $\rightarrow$  Capture-DR $\rightarrow$  Shift-DR $\rightarrow$ ... $\rightarrow$  EXIT1-DR $\rightarrow$ 

3. Test patterns read are applied to Update latch and the signals are propagated to input Boundary Scan Cells (BSC) in parallel. The corresponding state transitions: Update-DR $\rightarrow$  Scan-DR $\rightarrow$  Capture-DR $\rightarrow$ 

4. Test responses captured are shifted out through

BSCs to TDO. The corresponding state transitions:

Capture-DR  $\rightarrow$  Shift-DR  $\rightarrow ... \rightarrow$  EXIT1-DR  $\rightarrow$ 

Update-DR and Update-IR states are active on the falling edge of the TCK while all the others are on the rising edge. The timing diagram of the above step 3) can be drawn as Figure2. Update-DR is active on the dotted line, and Cature-DR is active on the bold line, thus 2.5 TCKs is required from Update-DR to Capture-DR. That is, it can be seen that it takes 2.5 TCKs from the application of interconnect test patterns through output BSCs to the observation of test responses on input BSCs.



Figure 2: Timing diagram revealing 2.5 TCKs problem.

## 3. Problems on the Detection of Delay Defects with IEEE Boundary Scan Design

Although the number of TCK cycles has no relevance on the detection of static interconnect faults, the test responses must be observed in a TCK cycle to detect delay defects. Since the delay defects can not be tested with IEEE boundary scan design, either the state diagram of TAP controller or boundary scan cells must be changed. In order to reduce the cycle time between Update and Capture in the BSC shown in Figure 3, the signal has to be either captured 1.5 TCK earlier as the left dotted circle or updated 1.5 TCK later as the right dotted circle.



Figure 3: Detection of delay defects by changing either Capture or Update Latch.

Early capture latch is added for the delay defects as Figure 4 in [5]. Early capture latch of dotted circle takes a signal earlier at the activation of the capture signal. However the normal signal has to be passed through the extra latch thus delayed, and the extra latch must be added to each boundary scan cell. We introduce a different boundary scan design technique which simply inserts a block in the TAP controller instead of each boundary scan cell. The delay detection capability is added to EXTEST instruction, thus EXTEST can be used to test not only static but also delay faults.



Figure 4: Delay detection by Early Capture Latch.

Which method does result in smaller area overhead between 1.5 TCK late Update and 1.5 TCK early Capture? If the IEEE boundary scan design is precisely looked into, the ClockDR signal depends on both Capture-DR and Shift-DR, thus both signals must be active for the early Capture. On the other hand, since the Mode(selector of the multiplexor) chooses the lower one by EXTEST instruction, the late Update requires changing only Update-DR signal. Therefore we decide to change the Update-DR signal.

# 4. Detection of Delay Defects by Changing UpdateDR

Synopsys CAD tool has been used to change the IEEE boundary scan design. The following factors need to be considered in describing the circuit with boundary scan components supported by Design Ware.

- 1. Is the Optional Device Identification register used?
- 2. Is user specified test data register used?

3. How many instructions are to be supported? (to decide the width of instruction register)

4. Does the boundary scan cell operate in synchronous mode or asynchronous mode with respect to TCK?



Figure 5: High level block diagram of modified boundary scan design.

We have not used optional device identification and user specified test data register. The width of the instruction register is set to two bit. Figure 5 shows the synthesized circuit from high level description. Two inputs and one output sample core is located in the right side and three associated boundary scan latches are around the core. Modified TAP controller is shown in the left side. The detailed circuit diagram of the modified TAP controller is shown in Figure 6. The late UpdateDR signal is driven to the Selected-UpdateDR signal only when EXTEST instruction is active. Mode generation logic, which generates mode signals for input and output BSCs upon different instructions, is located in lower right side. We have embedded the late UpdateDR block into the Modified TAP controller component so that user can easily change the boundary scan design for delay defects simply by exchanging the TAP block.



Figure 6: Detailed description of TAP controller modified for delay testing.



Figure 7: Type-1 boundary scan cell in Synopsys library.

Figure 7 shows a type-1 boundary scan cell provided by Synopsys library [11]. For asynchronous boundary scan chains, the update-en is tied to logic one and update-clk is connected to UpdateDR from TAP controller. For synchronous boundary scan chains, update-en is tied to UpdateDR from TAP controller and update-clk are connected to TCK signal.



Figure 8: 1 TCK cycle from UpdateDR to CaptureDR in Asynchronous mode.

The simulation result for 1.5 late UpdateDR is shown in Figure 8. In order to change the UpdateDR only for EXTEST instruction, the decoded signal for EXTEST is designed to activate the late UpdateDR. It can be seen that the rising edge of Update-DR is postponed by 1.5 TCK than Update-DR-TAP, thus the interval between Update-DR and Clock-DR-TAP becomes 1 TCK. In synchronous boundary scan chains, captured data are updated on the rising edge of TCK while the Update-DR is one. For both asynchronous and synchronous boundary scan cells, the TAP is modified so that Update-DR is active 1.5 TCK later than the TAP Update-DR with the excution of EXTEST instruction.

### 5. Test Pattern Generation for Delay Defects

Instead of generating test patterns for static and delay faults separately, this paper introduces new interconnect test patterns which not only test static but delay faults as well.  $2\log(n)$  interconnect test patterns [3] for complete detection and partial diagnosis has been augmented as  $2\log(n+2)$  test patterns to include  $0 \rightarrow 1$ ' and ' $1 \rightarrow 0$ ' transitions which are necessary for delay testing.

 Table 1: 2log(n+2) test patterns for 6 interconnect nets

Net	Input Vectors					
S						
	0	0	0	1	1	1
n1	0	0	1	1	0	0
n2	0	1	0	1	0	1
n3	0	1	1	1	0	0
n4	1	0	0	0	1	1
n5	1	0	1	0	1	0
n6	1	1	0	0	0	1
	1	1	1	0	0	0

**<u>Theorem</u> 1**  $2\log(n+2)$  test patterns for total n nets always include both ' $0 \rightarrow 1$ ' and ' $1 \rightarrow 0$ ' transitions.

#### Proof: Abbreviated.

Table 1 illustrates  $2\log(n+2)$  test patterns for 6 interconnect nets. Top and bottom patterns with only either  $0 \rightarrow 1$ ' or ' $1 \rightarrow 0$ ' transition are excluded from 8 test patterns, thus 6 patterns with both transitions are generated.

### 6. Conclusions

Rather than changing all the input boudary scan cells, IEEE 1149.1 boundary scan TAP controller is simply modified to change the interval between CaptureDR and UpdateDR, thus to be able to test delay defects with EXTEST instruction. Conventional  $2\log(n)$  interconnect test patterns are augmented to  $2\log(n+2)$ . The method proposed can be usefully applied to test delay defects on I/O pads and interconnections among boundary scanned IP cores.

### Reference

R. W. Bassett, M. E. Turner, J. H. Panner, P. S. Gills, S.
 F. Oakland and D. W. Stout, *Boundary-scan design principles for efficient LSSD ASIC testing*, IBM Journal of Research and Development, Vol. 34, No. 2/3 March/May 1990. pp. 339-354.

[2] IEEE Standard 1149.1-1990, *IEEE Standard Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture*, IEEE, June 1989.

[3] P. T. Wagner, *Interconnect Testing with Boundary Scan*, *Proceedings International Test Conference*, 1987, pp. 52-57.

[4] S. Park, A New Complete Diagnosis Patterns for Wiring Interconnects, ACM/IEEE Design Automation, pp. 203-208, 1996.

[5] K. Lofstrom, *Early Capture For Boundary Scan Timing Measurements*, Proceedings of IEEE International Test Conference, pp. 417-422, 1996.

[6] J. Shin, H. Kim, S. Kang, *At-Speed Boundary-Scan Interconnect Testing in a Board with Multiple System Clocks*, Proceedings of European Design and Test Conference, pp.473-477, 1998.

[7] W. Ke, *Backplane Interconnect Test in a Boundary Scan Environment*, Proceedings of IEEE International Test Conference, pp. 717-724, 1996.

[8] W. Ke, *Hybrid Pin Control Using Boundary Scan and Its Applications*, Proceedings of IEEE International Asian Test Symposium, Taiwan, 1996.

[9] P. Gillis, F. Woytowich, K. McCauley and U. Baur, Delay Test of Chip I/Os using Lssd Boundary Scan, Proceedings of IEEE International Test Conference, 1998.
[10] L. Whetsel, An IEEE 1149.1-Based Test Access Architecture for ICs with Embedded Cores, Proceedings of IEEE International Test Conference, pp. 69-78, 1997.

[11] Synopsys document on Adding Boundary Test Circuitry.