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#### Abstract

We present waveform relaxation of linear integral-differential equations which occur in circuit simulation. We give sufficient conditions for convergence and numerical experiments to verify the theoretical results.


## 1 Introduction

In circuit simulation, if a strict nodal formulation is used, the circuit equations after linearization are integral-differential equations of the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D \int_{0}^{t} x(\tau) d \tau+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \frac{d x}{d t}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & N
\end{array}\right] x(t) \\
=f(t), \quad x_{1}(0)=x_{10}, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}, M, A \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1}}, B \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2}}$, $C \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{2} \times n_{1}}, N \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{2} \times n_{2}}$ such that $M$ and $N$ are nonsingular matrices, $x(t)=\left[x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right]^{t} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $f(t)=\left[f_{1}(t), f_{2}(t)\right]^{t} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ in which $x_{1}(t), f_{1}(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1}}$ and $x_{2}(t), f_{2}(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{2}}$ where $n_{1}+n_{2}=n$.

The waveform relaxation (WR) method was first presented in 1982 [1]. Recent results on accelerated techniques and convergence conditions are reported in $[2-5]$.

## 2 Waveform Relaxation Algorithm

In this paper, we let $\tilde{M}_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}M_{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $\tilde{A}_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}A_{q} & B_{q} \\ C_{q} & N_{q}\end{array}\right](q=1,2)$ where $M=M_{1}-M_{2}$,

[^0]$A=A_{1}-A_{2}, B=B_{1}-B_{2}, C=C_{1}-C_{2}$, and $N=N_{1}-N_{2}$ in which $M_{1}$ and $N_{1}$ are nonsingular matrices. The general form of the waveform relaxation algorithm with initial iteration $x^{(0)}(\cdot)$ for System (1) $(k=1,2, \cdots)$ is
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad D_{1} \int_{0}^{t} x^{(k)}(\tau) d \tau+\tilde{M}_{1} \frac{d x^{(k)}}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{1} x^{(k)}(t)= \\
& \quad D_{2} \int_{0}^{t} x^{(k-1)}(\tau) d \tau+\tilde{M}_{2} \frac{d x^{(k-1)}}{d t}(t)  \tag{2}\\
& +\tilde{A}_{2} x^{(k-1)}(t)+f(t), \quad x_{1}^{(k)}(0)=x_{10}, \quad t \in[0, T]
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $D=D_{1}-D_{2}$.
Theorem 1 The waveform relaxation solution of System (1) according to the splitting of (2) will converge if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(M_{1}^{-1} M_{2}\right)<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \rho\left(N_{1}^{-1} N_{2}\right)<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $y^{(k)}(t)=D_{1} \int_{0}^{t} x^{(k)}(\tau) d \tau-D_{2} \int_{0}^{t}$ $x^{(k-1)}(\tau) d \tau$, thus on $[0, T]$ the algorithm (2) can be writen as $(k=1,2, \cdots)$
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}y^{(k)}(t)+\tilde{M}_{1} \frac{d x^{(k)}}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{1} x^{(k)}(t)=\tilde{M}_{2} \frac{d x^{(k-1)}}{d t}(t) \\ +\tilde{A}_{2} x^{(k-1)}(t)+f(t), \quad x_{1}^{(k)}(0)=x_{10}, \\ \frac{d y^{(k)}}{d t}(t)-D_{1} x^{(k)}(t)=-D_{2} x^{(k-1)}(t), \quad y^{(k)}(0)=0\end{array}\right.$

If we denote that $y^{(k)}(t)=\left[y_{1}^{(k)}(t), y_{2}^{(k)}(t)\right]^{t}$ where $y_{1}^{(k)}(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1}}$ and $y_{2}^{(k)}(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{2}}(k=0,1, \cdots)$ and $D_{q}=\left[L_{q}, R_{q}\right]$ where $L_{q} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n_{1}}$ and $R_{q} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n_{2}}(q=1,2)$, and $E_{1}=\left[I_{n_{1} \times n_{1}}, 0\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1} \times n}$ and $E_{2}=\left[0, I_{n_{2} \times n_{2}}\right] \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{2} \times n}$ where $I_{m \times m} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ represents the identity matrix. On $[0, T]$, for any fixed $k$ we can express the above formula with

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[x_{1}^{(k)}(0), y^{(k)}(0)\right]^{t}=\left[x_{10}, 0\right]^{t} \text { as }} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I_{n \times n}
\end{array}\right] \frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(k)}(t) \\
y^{(k)}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & E_{1} \\
-L_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
\quad \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(k)}(t) \\
y^{(k)}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
-R_{1}
\end{array}\right] x_{2}^{(k)}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
\quad \times \frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(k-1)}(t) \\
y^{(k-1)}(t)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{2} & 0 \\
-L_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(k-1)}(t) \\
y^{(k-1)}(t)
\end{array}\right] \\
\quad+\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{2} \\
-R_{2}
\end{array}\right] x_{2}^{(k-1)}(t)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}(t) \\
0
\end{array}\right], \\
\quad \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{(k-1)}(t) \\
y^{(k-1)}(t)
\end{array}\right]+N_{2} x_{2}^{(k-1)}(t)+f_{2}(t)
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the form (4), by use of the same approach in [4-5] we can write the algorithm (2) as an iterative process of operator equations with initial iteration $w^{(0)}(\cdot)$ in $C\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{(k)}(t)=\left(\mathcal{R} w^{(k-1)}\right)(t)+\varphi(t), \quad k=1,2, \cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{(k)}(t)=\left[x_{1}^{(k)}(t), y^{(k)}(t), x_{2}^{(k)}(t)\right]^{t}$ for any fixed $k, \varphi(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ on $[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{R}: C\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right) \mapsto$ $C\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ is a bounded linear operator. Further, the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{R}$ in $C\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathcal{R})=\sigma\left(M_{1}^{-1} M_{2}\right) \cup \sigma\left(N_{1}^{-1} N_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above relation implies that the iterative algorithm (2) converges to the solution of System (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3 Waveform Krylov Subspace Algorithm

From (5), we can similarly write System (1) as an operator equation in $L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) w=\varphi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(t)=\left[x_{1}(t), y(t), x_{2}(t)\right]^{t} \in \mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ in which $y(t)=D \int_{0}^{t} x(\tau) d \tau$ on $[0, T]$. The spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{R}$ in $L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{R}^{2 n}\right)$ also satisfies the condition (6).

We discuss the waveform GMRES which is a waveform Krylov subspace algorithm. The operatorfunction product $p(t)=(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) w(t)$ is computed by:

1. Solve the following system for $x^{\wedge}(t)=$ $\left[x_{1}^{\wedge}(t), x_{2}^{\wedge}(t)\right]^{t}$ on $[0, T]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} \int_{0}^{t} x^{\wedge}(\tau) d \tau+\tilde{M}_{1} \frac{d x^{\wedge}}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{1} x^{\wedge}(t) & =D_{2} \int_{0}^{t} x(\tau) d \tau \\
+\tilde{M}_{2} \frac{d x}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{2} x(t), \quad M_{1} x_{1}^{\wedge}(0) & =M_{2} x_{1}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Set $p(t)=w(t)-w^{\wedge}(t)$ where $w^{\wedge}(t)=$ $\left[x_{1}^{\wedge}(t), y^{\wedge}(t), x_{2}^{\wedge}(t)\right]^{t}$ in which $y^{\wedge}(t)=D \int_{0}^{t} x^{\wedge}(\tau) d \tau$.

The initial residual of Eq. (7) can be expressed as $r^{(0)}(t)=\left(\mathcal{R} w^{(0)}+\varphi\right)(t)-w^{(0)}(t)$ on $[0, T]$. The procedure computing $r^{(0)}(t)$ in which $w^{(0)}(t)=$ $\left[x_{1}^{(0)}(t), y^{(0)}(t), x_{2}^{(0)}(t)\right]^{t}$ with $x_{1}^{(0)}(0)=x_{10}$ where $y^{(0)}(t)=D \int_{0}^{t} x^{(0)}(\tau) d \tau$ is given by:

1. Solve the following system for $x^{\wedge}(t)=$ $\left[x_{1}^{\wedge}(t), x_{2}^{\wedge}(t)\right]^{t}$ on $[0, T]:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1} \int_{0}^{t} x^{\wedge}(\tau) d \tau+\tilde{M}_{1} \frac{d x^{\wedge}}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{1} x^{\wedge}(t)=D_{2} \int_{0}^{t} x^{(0)}(\tau) d \tau \\
\quad+\tilde{M}_{2} \frac{d x^{(0)}}{d t}(t)+\tilde{A}_{2} x^{(0)}(t)+f(t), \quad x_{1}^{\wedge}(0)=x_{10}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Set $r^{(0)}(t)=w^{\wedge}(t)-w^{(0)}(t)$ where $w^{\wedge}(t)=$ $\left[x_{1}^{\wedge}(t), y^{\wedge}(t), x_{2}^{\wedge}(t)\right]^{t}$ in which $y^{\wedge}(t)=D \int_{0}^{t} x^{\wedge}(\tau) d \tau$.

## Algorithm - WGMRES

1. Start: Set $r^{(0)}=\varphi-(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) w^{(0)}$, $v_{1}=r^{(0)} /\left\|r^{(0)}\right\|$
2. Iterate: For $l=1,2, \ldots$, until satisfied do:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{j, l}=\left\langle(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) v_{l}, v_{j}\right\rangle, j=1,2, \ldots, l \\
& \hat{v}_{l+1}=(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) v_{l}-\sum_{j=1}^{l} h_{j, l} v_{j} \\
& h_{l+1, l}=\left\|\hat{v}_{l+1}\right\| \\
& v_{l+1}=\hat{v}_{l+1} / h_{l+1, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Form the approximate solution:

$$
w^{(k)}=w^{(0)}+V_{k} a_{k}
$$

In Algorithm, $V_{k}=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$ and $a_{k} \in \mathbf{R}^{k}$ minimizes $\left\|\beta e_{1}^{k+1}-H_{k} a\right\|$ over $\mathbf{R}^{k}$ where $a \in \mathbf{R}^{k}$ (namely, minimizes $\left\|r^{(0)}-(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R}) w\right\|$ over $K_{k}=$ $\left.\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}\right)$ such that $e_{1}^{k+1}=[1,0, \ldots, 0]^{t} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{k+1}, \beta=\left\|r^{(0)}\right\|$ and $H_{k}$ is a matrix with dimensions $(k+1) \times k$. If $(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{R})$ has bounded inverse and one is in the unbounded component of the complement of $\sigma(\mathcal{R})$, then it will converge to the solution of Eq. (7) (see [4]).

## 4 Discrete-time Case

In this section, we only discuss the $p$-step constant stepsize BDF method approximating the algorithm (2) [6]. The method consists of replacing $\frac{d x^{(l)}}{d t}(t)$ ( $l=k, k-1$ ) by the derivative of a polynomial which interpolates the computed solution at $p+1$ times $t_{n}, t_{n-1}, \cdots, t_{n-p}$, i.e., $\frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \alpha_{j} x_{n-j}^{(l)}(l=k, k-1)$ where $\alpha_{j}(j=0,1, \cdots, p)$ are the coefficients of a BDF method. Further, we replace $\int_{0}^{t} x^{(l)}(\tau) d \tau$ by
$h \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{n-j}^{(l)}$ at time point $t_{n}(l=k, k-1)$. Thus, the discrete-time form of the algorithm (2) is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
h D_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{n-j}^{(k)}+\frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \alpha_{j} \tilde{M}_{1} x_{n-j}^{(k)}+\tilde{A}_{1} x_{n}^{(k)}=  \tag{8}\\
h D_{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{n-j}^{(k-1)}+\frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \alpha_{j} \tilde{M}_{2} x_{n-j}^{(k-1)}+\tilde{A}_{2} x_{n}^{(k-1)}+f_{n} \\
t \in[0, T], \quad n=p, p+1, \cdots, p^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{n}=\left[f_{n}^{1}, f_{n}^{2}\right]^{t}$ and for any $k \geq 1$ the values $x_{n}^{(k)}\left(=x_{n}^{(0)}\right)$ are known for $n=0,1, \cdots, p-1$, and the values $x_{n}^{(k)}$ are unknown for $n=p, p+1, \cdots, p^{\prime}$ where $t_{p^{\prime}}=T$.

Denote $-h D \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} x_{p-k}^{(0)}=\left[g_{1}^{h}, g_{2}^{h}\right]^{t}$ and $h D_{q}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}D_{11}^{q}(h) & D_{12}^{q}(h) \\ D_{21}^{q}(h) & D_{22}^{q}(h)\end{array}\right](q=1,2)$. Now let $\Phi^{(l)}=$ $\left[\phi_{p}^{(l)}, \cdots, \phi_{p^{\prime}}^{(l)}\right]^{t}$ and $\Psi^{(l)}=\left[\psi_{p}^{(l)}, \cdots, \psi_{p^{\prime}}^{(l)}\right]^{t}$ where $x_{n}^{(l)}=\left[\phi_{n}^{(l)}, \psi_{n}^{(l)}\right]^{t}$ in which $\phi_{n}^{(l)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n_{1}}$ and $\psi_{n}^{(l)} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{n_{2}}(l=k, k-1)$ for $n=0,1, \cdots, p^{\prime}$. Let also $F_{1}=$ $\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{j} M \phi_{p-j}^{(0)}+h\left(g_{1}^{h}+f_{p}^{1}\right),-\sum_{j=2}^{p} \alpha_{j} M \phi_{p+1-j}^{(0)}+\right.$ $h\left(g_{1}^{h}+f_{p+1}^{1}\right), \cdots,-\alpha_{p} M \phi_{p-1}^{(0)}+h\left(g_{1}^{h}+f_{2 p-1}^{1}\right), h\left(g_{1}^{h}+\right.$ $\left.\left.f_{2 p}^{1}\right), \cdots, h\left(g_{1}^{h}+f_{p^{\prime}}^{1}\right)\right]^{t}$ and $F_{2}=\left[\left(g_{2}^{h}+f_{p}^{2}\right), \cdots,\left(g_{2}^{h}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.f_{p^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\right]^{t}$. Denote also the Kronecker product of two matrices $A$ and $B$ by $A \otimes B$. Now, for any fixed $k$ we can compactly write (8) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Phi^{(k)} \\
\Psi^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
X_{11}^{1} & X_{12}^{1} \\
X_{21}^{1} & X_{22}^{1}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}}  \tag{9}\\
\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X_{11}^{2} & X_{12}^{2} \\
X_{21}^{2} & X_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Phi^{(k-1)} \\
\Psi^{(k-1)}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
F_{1} \\
F_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $X_{11}^{q}=M_{\alpha} \otimes M_{q}+h I \otimes\left(A_{q}+D_{11}^{q}(h)\right)+h L \otimes$ $D_{11}^{q}(h), X_{12}^{q}=h I \otimes\left(B_{q}+D_{12}^{q}(h)\right)+h L \otimes D_{12}^{q}(h)$, $X_{21}^{q}=I \otimes\left(C_{q}+D_{21}^{q}(h)\right)+L \otimes D_{21}^{q}(h)$, and $X_{22}^{q}=$ $I \otimes\left(N_{q}+D_{22}^{q}(h)\right)+L \otimes D_{22}^{q}(h)(q=1,2)$ where $I \in \mathbf{R}^{s \times s}$ and $L \in \mathbf{R}^{s \times s}$ is a strictly low triangle matrix such that $L_{i j}=1(i>j)$ and $M_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}^{s \times s}$ is a low triangle matrix where $s=p^{\prime}-p+1$. The proof of the following theorem is nearly the same as one in [4]. For brevity, we shall omit it.

Theorem 2 When the condition (3) is satisfied, the discrete-time waveform relaxation iteration process (8) always converges for small enough time-step $h$.

## 5 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we present numerical experiments based on a linear circuit shown in Figure 1. The sys-
tem of circuit equations has a form as System (1) in which the algebraic part does not exist (namely, the matrices $B, C, N$ and the function $f_{2}(t)$ are nil). In this example, the matrices $D, M$, and $A$ respectively are

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{L_{1}}+\frac{1}{L_{2}} & -\frac{1}{L_{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{L_{2}} & \frac{1}{L_{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{L_{3}}+\frac{1}{L_{4}} & -\frac{1}{L_{4}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{L_{4}} & \frac{1}{L_{4}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{L_{5}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
c_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_{2} & -c_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -c_{2} & c_{2}+c_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & c_{4} & -c_{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -c_{4} & c_{4}+c_{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
G_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Further, $x(t)=\left[v_{1}(t), \cdots, v_{5}(t)\right]^{t}$ with $x(0)=$ $[0, \cdots, 0]^{t}, f(t)=\left[j_{0}(t), 0, \cdots, 0\right]^{t}, T=100 \pi$ and the input function $j_{0}(t)=(1+0.2 \sin (10 t)) \sin (t)+(1+$ $0.2 \sin (0.1 t)) \sin (t)(0 \leq t<20 \pi)$ and $j_{0}(t)=0(20 \pi \leq$ $t \leq 100 \pi)$.

This circuit is a band-pass filter with a center frequency of $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and a bandwidth of $0.05 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$. The input is a pulsed amplitude-modulated signal (Figure 2). The carrier frequency is $1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and the modulating signals are two sinusoids of freqnecies $0.1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and $10 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$. At the output, we see the effect of narrow band. The output is a series of pulsed sinusoids with decreasing amplitudes (Figure 3).

In our experiments, we let $c_{1}=12.36 F, c_{2}=$ $0.030902 F, c_{3}=40 F, c_{4}=0.030902 F, c_{5}=12.36 F$, $L_{1}=0.080906 H, L_{2}=32.36 H, L_{3}=0.025 H, L_{4}=$ $32.36 \mathrm{H}, L_{5}=0.080906 \mathrm{H}$, and $G_{1}=G_{2}=1 \mathrm{mho}$. The basic ordinary differential equation code was the Backward Euler method. The time-step was $0.1 \pi$. The error with tolerance $1 \times 10^{-5}$ was defined as the sum of the squared differences of successive waveforms taken over all time points.

The known Jacobi waveform relaxation algorithm [1] of the circuit has a form of (2) in which $D_{1}$, $M_{1}$, and $A_{1}$ respectively are the diagonal matrices of the matrices $D, M$, and $A$. In the Jacobi splitting, $\rho\left(M_{1}^{-1} M_{2}\right)$ is less than one and the process converges. Now, if we let $M_{1}=10 I_{5 \times 5}$ and keep $D_{1}$ and $A_{1}$ as in the Jacobi splitting then $\rho\left(M_{1}^{-1} M_{2}\right)$ is large than
one and the process does not converge. The experiment results on these two splittings were presented in Figure 4.

## 6 Conclusion

We have presented new theoretical results on the convergence of the waveform relaxation algorithm and the waveform Krylov subspace algorithm (WGMRES) for systems of linear integral-differential equations for circuit simulation. The numerical experiments here show that the splitting of matrices is crucial to convergence.
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Figure 1: A linear circuit described by integraldifferential equations.


Figure 2: Waveform of the input function $j_{0}(t)$ in Figure 1 on $[0,100 \pi]$.


Figure 3: Waveform of the voltage $v_{5}(t)$ in Figure 1 on $[0,100 \pi]$.


Figure 4: Waveform relaxation for the circuit in Figure 1. The case of the Jacobi splitting was shown by the solid line and the second case was shown by the dashed line.
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