PID Controller Tuning for Stable and Unstable Processes Applying GA

Marco Antonio Paz-Ramos^{1,2}, Jose Torres-Jimenez³, Enrique Quintero-Marmol-Marquez², and Hugo Estrada-Esquivel² ¹ Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey Campus Ciudad de Mexico Calle del Puente 222 Col. Ejidos de Huipulco Tlalpan, 14380, Mexico, D.F. marco.paz@itesm.mx ² Centro Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico (CENIDET) Interior Internado Palmira S/N Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico {pazramos,eqm,hestrada}@cenidet.edu.mx ³ Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey Campus Cuernavaca, Computer Science Department. Av. Paseo de la Reforma 182-A. Lomas de Cuernavaca 62589 Temixco Morelos, MEXICO jtj@itesm.mx Tel. +52-777-3297169, Fax +52-777-3297169

Abstract. During the last years the use of intelligent strategies for tuning Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers has been growing. The evolutionary strategies have won an important place thanks to their flexibility. In this paper, the automatic tuning of systems with stable and unstable dynamics, through a genetic approach is presented. The advantages of the proposed approach ere highlighted through the comparison with the Ziegler-Nichols modified closed loop method, and the Visioli genetic approach. The proposed methodology goal is to expand the intelligent tuning application to a wider range of processes (covering systems with oscillatory or unstable modes).

Keywords: PID Controllers, Genetic Algorithms, Ziegler-Nichols method, Visioli method.

1 Introduction

The first attempts to automate the tuning of Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers were based on the time response of a process, but this approach had the drawback of requiring a lot of user interaction. A very important advance was made when it was decided to use the frequency response of a process instead of its time response, in this way a bigger degree of automation was attained. The first industrial PID controller based on processes' frequency response, was the Novatune [1], which initialized a PID controller automatically.

Applications based on PID controllers using frequency response were very successful [1] [2] [3], however the range of their applicability is restricted to

asymptotically stable systems, due to the use of the relay feedback. By using evolutionary meta heuristics, like Genetic Algorithms (GA), it is possible to expand the application range of PID controllers to systems with oscillatory or unstable modes. The application of GA to control applications has been increasing steadily and recently GA were applied: a) to tune Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) systems [4] [5]; and b) to improve significantly the performance of plants [6].

In this paper, a GA was used to automate the tuning of systems with scarce initial information and integrative and unstable dynamics, in order to get insight of the advantages of using a GA, the results were compared against the Ziegler-Nichols modified closed loop method.

The rest of the paper is organized in four more sections: a) in section two the Ziegler-Nichols closed loop control method is presented; b) in section 3 the Visioli genetic method for integral and unstable processes was highlighted; c) in section 4 the Direct Genetic PID proposed method, that is able to complement the Ziegler-Nichols and Visioli methods is presented; and d) finally in section 5 main conclusions will be presented.

2 Ziegler-Nichols Closed Loop Method

With the microprocessor arrival, the industrial field controllers' horizons were expanded, thanks to the capacity to carry out elaborated algorithms. This situation encouraged some controller makers to develop auto tuning PID controllers. One example of this controllers is the Foxboro EXACT [7], whose operation was based on the transient response analysis. An alternative was the use of Ziegler and Nichols closed-loop method [7]. The original method consists of varying the proportional gain until a sustained oscillation is reached. Maintaining the system in this state, the amplitude and frequency of the oscillations are determined by measuring the system output. A PID controller has the standard form depicted in equation 1, where u(t) defines the controller output, e(t) is the system error (difference among the desired set point for the variable under control, and the actual process variable value), K_p indicates the proportional gain, T_d is the derivative time constant, and T_i is the integral time constant.

$$u(t) = K_p\left(e(t) + \frac{1}{T_i}\int_0^t e(t)dt + T_d\frac{de(t)}{dt}\right)$$
(1)

The PID controller parameters for equation 1 are calculated and fixed according to the table 1, where K_u is the ultimate gain, and T_u is the ultimate period. The Ziegler and Nichols method for tuning an ISA PID controller, is a thumb rule based on the Nyquist curve. According to the Nyquist theorem a process is stable if the Nyquist curve does not encircle the point (-1,0).

Controller	$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{p}}$	T_i	T_d
Р	$0.5K_u$		
PI	$0.4K_u$	$0.8T_u$	
PID	$0.6K_u$	$0.5T_u$	$0.12T_u$

Table 1. Regulator parameters obtained by the Ziegler-Nichols closed-loop method

2.1 Using the Relay Feedback System

Hägglund and Åström [1] [9] introduced an alternative to the increment of the proportional gain to achieve the oscillations inside a bigger margin of security that the original free oscillations system. A non linear circuit was introduced. The non linear function has a relay characteristic. To obtain the value of the proportional gain according to the table 1 is necessary to calculate the equation 2 where d is the output relay amplitude and a is the oscillation amplitude, while T_u (necessary to obtain T_i and T_d) is measured directly of the oscillations.

$$K_u = \frac{4d}{a\pi} \tag{2}$$

2.2 Stability of Limit Cycle

The relay feedback method is successfully used in the industry [1], however to measure K_u and T_u is fundamental that the limit cycle were stable and symmetric. Åström developed an analysis consisting in obtaining the Jacobian of the Poincaré map (W) which is related to the relay oscillations; the limit cycle is locally stable if and only if W has all its eigenvalues inside the unit disk [8].The phase portrait is a practical way to know if a process oscillation is stable or not, when the relay feedback is applied.

Generally the integrative and unstable processes do not allow reaching a controlled oscillation. If do not exist a stable oscillation it is not possible to apply the Ziegler and Nichols methods, one of the alternatives to tuning PID controllers for integral and unstable processes is the use of GA. The Visioli method [13] presented in next section uses internally a GA.

3 Visioli Genetic Method for Integral and Unstable Processes

In this section, a brief introduction to GA concepts will be presented first, and then the Visioli method (based on GA) is detailed. John Holland proposed in 1975 the genetic algorithms [11]. The original idea was to achieve that the computing processes could have an evolutionary profile. In the last years there has been an important increase of genetic algorithms applications to the automatic control field. A genetic algorithm emulates an evolutionary process [12], thanks to a generation of virtual individuals population. The virtual population has a reproduction and death process, which allow space for a new generation. According to the schemata theorem [12] if reproduction possibilities for the better adapted individual are increased, the future generations will be more capable on the average than their predecessors. If we take the population individuals as potential solutions of a problem, and we build the algorithm so that the most capable individuals are the nearest to the solution, then we can hope after a certain number of generations, the solution to our problem.

Visioli presents in [13] a methodology for tuning PID controllers for integral and unstable processes. The method consists on a set of rules for integral and unstable processes, which are similar to the Ziegler and Nichols rules. These rules were obtained using a Genetic Algorithm optimization approach. The method contemplates the structure described in equation 3 for integrative processes (Kis the transfer function gain, and L is the system delay), and the structure described in equation 4 for unstable processes (T is a time response rate).

$$G(s) = \frac{K}{s}e^{-Ls} \tag{3}$$

$$G(s) = \frac{K}{Ts - 1}e^{-Ls} \tag{4}$$

In the table 2, the tuning rules for optimal set-point response for unstable processes are shown. The rules presented in table 2 are optimized are optimized to minimize the integral of the quadratic error (ISE). The complete rule set can be found in [13].

Table 2. Tuning rules for optimal optimal set-point response for unstable processes

PID parameter	ISE
K_p	$1.32/K(L/T)^{-0.92}$
T_i	$4.00(L/T)^{0.47}T$
T_d	$(3.78T(1-0.84(L/T)^{-0.02})/(L/T)^{-0.95})$

Suppose an unstable plant whose transfer function is given by the equation 5. In accordance with the table 2, the parameter values will be: $K_p = 5.8$, $T_i = 1.88$, and $T_d = 0.11$. In the figure 1 the response of the process described in equation 5 when is controlled by the equation 1 is shown.

$$G(s)_5 = \frac{1}{s-1}e^{-0.2s} \tag{5}$$

The main virtue of the Visioli method is its simplicity of use; however it has three important disadvantages: a) an identified model of the process is needed;



Fig. 1. PID Control of the process described in equation (5) using the Visioli method.

b) the identified model has to be of first order approach; and c) If L = 0 (*L* is the system delay), the method can not be used. In the next section we propose a methodology for tuning a PID control for integral and unstable process which is independent to the transfer function order. The method is a direct optimization of the controller parameters across a genetic algorithm, as it will be shown this method is superior to the Visioli approach.

4 Direct Genetic PID

The use of genetic algorithms for tuning PID controllers has been growing, because is very easy to connect the structure of the controller with the optimization strategy. The genetic algorithm task is to optimize the controller parameters, by means of an evolutionary process. Suppose a chromosome coded as is indicated in equation 6, where C_k is the gain chromosome. C_k represents a simple individual whose genes are the PID controller parameters ($C_k = K_p T_i T_d$, $K_p = p_1, p_2, ..., p_n, T_i = i_1, i_2, ..., i_n$, and $T_d = d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$). Every chromosome is tested and evaluated. The individuals with fitness higher than the average shall have more reproduction opportunities. The gains that allow a better control performance give more chance to inherit the chromosome profile. To optimize the search, the decoding indicated in equation 7 is carried out. In equation 7, A_i is a gene of the chromosome C_k , which is weighted by a decoder weight *i*, fixed in a heuristic way, and K_i is a gain used by the equation 1. In the figure 2 is shown the optimization process, and it can be observed an on line identification process which use recursive least squares (RLS) [14]. The intern model is used in the fitness function described in equation 8, where r_i is the reference point, \hat{y} is the estimated process and n is a value that allows the output process establishment, when it is stable otherwise n will have a default value. In the equation 8, the minimization criterion is the integral of absolute error (IAE).

$$C_k = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$$
(6)

$$K_i = \Delta_i A_i \tag{7}$$

$$FF = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |r_i - \hat{y}| \tag{8}$$

The higher qualified individuals are those that minimize the equation 8. The controller parameters are modified using a commutation only when an important parameter variation happens.



Fig. 2. Optimization structure

The genetic algorithm for the optimization has a population of 100 members. In every reproduction process a tournament selection is performed involving 10% of population. The maximum allele value is $2^{53} - 1$. For maximum focus in the search, decoding considers the value dividing the allele between ten times its maximum value. A mutation process which involves 10% of the population is applied, and the crossover is applied with probability of 1.00.

The equation 9 does not have a stable limit cycle; therefore it is not possible to use the Ziegler and Nichols methods. Applying the Direct Genetic PID, it is



Fig. 3. Genetic PID controller of the process described in equation 9.

possible to control a marginally stable process. In the figure 3, it is shown the plant controlled output and the evolutionary process performance. The resultants gains for the process defined in equation 9 are $K_p = 0.156$, $T_i = 0.312$ and $T_d = 9.96$.

$$G(s)_2 = \frac{1}{s^3 + s^2 + s + 1} \tag{9}$$

The genetic optimization to control the double integrative process described in equation 10 is presented in figure 4. The resultants gains for the process described in equation 10 are $K_p = 4.251$, $T_i = 99.16$ and $T_d = 0.781$, for these processes is evident that the Visioli method can not be used because their structure are inadequate (see the equations 3 and 4). Also is shown the control for the unstable delayed process described by equation 5 in figure 5 (compare with the figure 1). The resultants gains for the process described in equation 5 are $K_p = 2.910$, $T_i = 1.367$ and $T_d = 0.135$.

$$G(s)_3 = \frac{1}{s^2}$$
(10)

The proposed method was applied to control a d.c. motor process, that is illustrated in figure 6, and the resultant output of the controlled process is illustrated in figure 7.



Fig. 4. Genetic PID controller of the process described in equation 10.



Fig. 5. Genetic PID controller of the process described in equation 6.

5 Conclusions

In this paper a new Genetic PID approach was presented to make control of systems with oscillatory or unstable modes. The main advantages of the pre-



Fig. 6. Diagram of the controlled d.c. motor scheme (indicating the sensors)



Fig. 7. The controlled speed in the d.c. motor

sented method relate to the possibility of make PID tuning when other methods have failed. Satisfactory results were observed through the exhibited tests in the paper. It is intented that the Genetic PID approach can be connected easily as a backup controller for other adaptive controllers. It is remarkable that the Genetic PID approach proposed does not require to know the process features, because an estimation stage (RLS) can be used.

References

- Häglund T., and Åström K. J., Industrial Adaptive Controllers Based on Frequency Response Techniques, Automatica, Vol. 27, No.4, (1991) 594-609.
- Hägglund T, and. Åström K.J., Supervision of Adaptive Control Algorithms, Automatica. Vol. 36, (2000) 1171-1180.
- Isermann R., and Lachmann K.-H., Parameter-adaptive Control with Configuration Aids and Supervision Functions, Automatica, Vol. 21, No. 6, (1984) 625-638.
- Vlachos C., Williams D., and Gomm J. B., Genetic Approach to Decentralized PID Controller Tuning for Multivariable Processes, IEE Proc. Control theory Appl. Vol 146, No. 1, (1999) 58-64.
- Paz R. M.A., García B. C. D., and Torres J. J., *Fuzzy-Genetic Controller for a Coupled Drives System*, IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics, Puebla, Mexico (2000) 741-746.
- Krohling R. A., and Rey UJ. P., Design of Optimal Disturbance Rejection PID Controllers Using Genetic Algorithms, IEEE Transactions on evolutionary computation. Vol 5, No. 1, (2001) 78-82.

- Åström K. J., and Hägglund T., PID Controllers: Theory, Design, and Tuning ISA organization 1995, ISBN: 1556175167
- Åström K. J. et al (ed.), Adaptive Control, Filtering, and Signal Processing, Springer-Verlag 1995, ISBN: 0387979883
- Hägglund T., and Åström K. J., Method and Apparatus in Tuning a PID-Regulator, U.S. Patent. Number 4549123, 1995
- Holmberg V. Relay, *Feedback of Simple Systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, August 1991, Department of Automatic Control Lund Intitute of Technology
- Holland J.H., Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems, MIT Press edition, 1992, ISBN: 0262581116.
- Goldberg D., Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning, Addison Wesley 1989,ISBN: 0201157675
- Visioli A., Optimal Tuning of PID Controllers for Integral and Unstable Processes, IEEE Proc. –Control Theory Appl., Vol. 148, No. 2. (2001) 180-184.
- Åström K. J., and Wittermark B., Adaptive Control, Second edition, Addison Wesley 1994, ISBN: 0201097206